| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| | 10/502,261 | ALVARO ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Emily Bernhardt | 1624 |
| All Participants: | Status of Applicat | ion: |
| (1) <u>Emily Bernhardt</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) Ms. Lorie Ann Morgan. | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: 7 June 2007 | Time: | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ If Yes, provide a brief description: |] Applicant's representative) No | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: | | |
| Claims discussed: | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: | • | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE See Continuation Sheet | E GENERAL NATURE OF WH | AT WAS DISCUSSED: |
| Part III. | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sed directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sed did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief | tion. The examiner will provide | e a written summary of the substance |
| | | |
| , | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| L'Beinhard | | |
| | pplicant/Applicant's Represent | ative Signature – if appropriate) |

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: To overcome the 112 rejection on scope of sleep disorders it was agreed to limit the scope to the actual diseases urged treatable in the specification on p.8. This will be done by way of an Examiner's amendment. Also a misspelling will be corrected in the specification on p.8.